| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/663,294 | LORTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Elizabeth A. Shaw | 3644 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending allowance</u> | | | |
| (1) Elizabeth A. Shaw. | | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael Hilton</u> . | | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 August 2006 | | Time: <u>3:30pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 11, 21, 25 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Wording changes were discussed to further clarify the claims and to place the case in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| .: | | | |
| SUPERVISORY | | | |
| PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | |
| | \pplicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |